



Massimo RUGGE, MD, FACG Università Degli Studi Di Padova, Italy



AIRTUM - Monopoli – October, 3rd 2018

My Audience: Cancer Registrars



- 2015 Commentary

"Critical to improving data quality are **cancer registrars**. They are **personnel with specialized training** to abstract pertinent information with regard to the history, diagnosis, treatment and surveillance of cancer patients."

My Purpose:

... enabling a *judicious use* of widely performed serum cancer markers as (potential!) support in cancer registration.







"Tumor markers **are not** the primary modalities for cancer diagnosis rather they can be used as laboratory test to support the diagnosis."

Can tumor markers be useful in driving and/or making easier/more consistent cancer registration?







TESTING FOR A CANCER OF UNKNOWN PRIMARY

TUMOR REGISTRY SETTING





TUMOR REGISTRY SETTING

detective
passionateEXPLOITING (SERUM)CANCER.REGISTRAREXPLOITING (SERUM)multitaskingFOR CANCERmotivatedFOR CANCEROF UNKNOWN PRIMARY

... WHERE MIGHT IT HAVE STARTED?





... WHERE MIGHT IT HAVE STARTED?

A Cancer of Unknown Primary (CUP)!

Cancers are named based on their primary site.

Cancers often spread from their **primary site** to one or more **metastatic sites** (example: a lung cancer that spreads to the liver is still classified as lung cancer).

Sometimes it's not clear where a cancer may have started. When cancer is found in one or more metastatic sites but its primary site cannot be determined, it is called **CUP**. This happens in a small portion of cancers.

Further tests (**cancer biomarkers?**) may eventually «disclose» the primary cancer site. When this happens, the **CUP** is renamed and treated according to where it started.





... WHERE MIGHT HAVE IT STARTED ?





... WHERE MIGHT HAVE IT STARTED ?











HORMONES

Calcitonin



Thyroid Medullary cancers

Catecolamine



Pheochromocytoma

Human Chorionic Gonadotropin



Trophoblastic testicular tumors Non-seminomatous testicular tumors

Ectopic hormones Paraneoplastic syndromes





ONCOPHETAL ANTIGENS

Alfa-fetoprotein



Liver cancer Testicular tumors

Carcino-embryonic antigen



Liver cancer Pancreas cancer Lung cancer Stomach cancer

ISOENZYMES

Prostatic acid phosphatase

Neuron specific enolase



Prostate cancer

Neuroendocrine tumors (different primary sites)







PSA-PSMA

Prostate cancer



Immunoglobulins

Multiple Mieloma





C125





Colon cancer

Ovary cancer

Breast cancer











BIOMARKERS IN THE ONCOGENETIC CASCADE





ONCOGENETIC CASCADE & «BIOMARKERS OPPORTUNITIES»





MR

... WHERE MIGHT HAVE IT STARTED ?



Types of Cancer Dogs Can DetectBowel cancerLung cancerBladder cancerOvarian cancerBreast cancerProstate cancerCervical cancerSkin cancerEndometrial cancer

In some cases, the source of the cancer is undetermined (**CUP**). Even when pathologists do autopsies on people who have died of cancer of unknown primary, they may be still unable to find the site where the cancer started.

The main reason to look for the primary site of a **Cancer of Unknown Primary** is to guide treatment.

In cancer registration workup, however, to assign a cancer to a specific site may have significant epidemiological consequences





Prostate-specific antigen (PSA): A high PSA level suggests that a CUP may have started in the prostate.

About 1/9 man will suffer of prostate cancer during his lifetime. It is **rare before age 40**. The average age at the time of diagnosis is about 66.

Most men without prostate cancer have blood PSA levels under 4 nanograms per milliliter.

The chance of having prostate cancer goes up as the PSA level goes up. When cancer develops, the PSA level usually goes above 4 (a level <4 does not guarantee that a man doesn't have cancer; 15% of men with a PSA <4 have cancer on a biopsy).

Men with PSA level between 4-10 have about a 1/4 chance of having cancer. **Men with PSA level >10 have over 50% chance of having cancer**.





Human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG): HighHCG levels suggest a germ cell tumor originating from testis/ovary, mediastinum, or retroperitoneum

Seminomas		Classical: 95% (HCG ±)
TESTIS CANCER:		Spermatocytic: 5% (HCG ±)
↓ 90% →	Non-seminomas (Germ Cell Tumor in both M/F)	Embryonal carcinoma (HCG ↑, AFP ↑) Yolk sac carcinoma (AFP ↑) Choriocarcinoma (HCG ↑) Teratomas (AFP ±)

Worldwide incidence rate of testicular cancers is reported as increasing (mostly seminomas).

Testicular cancer is **uncommon:1/250** males will develop testicular cancer at some point during their lifetime.

The average age at the time of diagnosis is about 33. It is largely a disease of young/middle-age.

About 6% of cases occur in children/teens; about 8% occur in men >55 yrs old. Cancer can usually be treated successfully (lifetime dying risk: $\pm 1/5.000$).





Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP): Is produced by: i) some germ cell tumors: ii) some liver cancers (HCC)



HCC

Mostly occurs in men >55 yrs old (strictly linked to cirrhosis incidence)

AFP is normally present at high levels in fetuses' blood (shortly drops after birth). In adults, AFP can go up from liver disease, HCC, or other cancers. Because HCC isn't the only reason for high AFP levels and HCC may have normal AFP, **it isn't very helpful in HCC diagnostic assessment**.

DKK1 as a serum marker for HCC. DKK1 is overexpressed in HCC tissue but is not detectable in non-cancer liver tissue (attractive candidate as HCC-marker)





PANCREATIC CANCERS: DIFFERENT HISTOGENESIS RESULTING INTO DIFFERENT SERUM MARKERS





CA 19-9: High levels suggest that the cancer started in the pancreas or biliary tree (**CEA** is not used as often as CA 19-9)

CA 19-9 and CEA are not accurate in the assessment of pancreas epithelial malignancy.

Levels of these tumor markers are not high in all people with pancreatic cancer, and some people who don't have pancreatic cancer might have high levels of these markers for other reasons. Still, these tests can sometimes support a histogenetic hypothesis (along with other tests).







CA 19-9: High levels of this tumor marker suggest that cancer started in the pancreas or biliary tree (**CEA** is not used as often as CA 19-9)

Biliary tract cancer (cholangiocarcinoma) may have high blood levels of *CEA* & *CA* 19-9. High *CEA/CA* 19-9 can also result from other types of cancer, or even by non-cancer diseases.

Not all bile duct cancers **↑** *CEA/CA 19-9* (low or normal levels can not exclude cancer).



Cholangiocarcinoma is rare and includes both intra-hepatic and extra-hepatic bile duct cancers. Bile duct cancer rarely occur at young age; the average age of diagnosis for both intra- and extra-hepatic cholagiocarcinoma ranges between 70-72 yrs.





CA-125: A high levels suggests ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer.

Germ cell cancers may cause elevated blood levels **of HCG, AFP**, and/or lactate dehydrogenase (**LDH**). Some ovarian stromal tumors may associate with increased the blood levels of **Inhibin** and both **Estrogen/Testosterone**.

REMIND		Embryonal carcinoma (HCG ↑ , AFP ↑) Yolk sac carcinoma (AFP ↑)
OVARIAN CANCER: \rightarrow	Germ Cell Tumors	Choriocarcinoma (HCG 1)
		Teratomas (AFP ±)

Ovary cancer ranks fifth among women in cancer deaths (more deaths than any other cancer of the 2 reproductive system).

A woman's risk of getting ovarian cancer during her lifetime is about 1/78. The lifetime chance of dying from ovarian cancer is about 1/108 (excluding low malignant potential ovary tumors).

NB: Pre-assessed *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutations (>1,000 BRCA mutations have been described) may suggest ovarian and/or breast cancer





SPECTRUM NEUROENDOCRINE TUMORS

Insulin (for Insulinomas ++),

C-peptide (for Insulinomas ++)

Gastrin (for Gastrinomas ++),

Glucagon (for Glucaconomas),

Somatostatin (for Somatostatinomas),

Pancreatic polypeptide (PPomas),

Vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIPomas)

Chromogranin A (CgA; for any NE-Tumor),

Neuron-specific enolase (NSE; for NE-Tumors),

Substance P (for Carcinoid)



Thyroid Thymus Esophagus Lung Stomach Pancreas Small Bowel Large Bowel Appendix Rectum





As a consequence...









... moving to "-omics"...







The increasing clinical impact of molecular profiling of cancer patients requires robust, validated tests (sensitivity, specificity, & detection limits).





MOLECULAR BIOMARKER USED IN CLINICAL PRACTICE TO GUIDE DIAGNOSIS & THERAPY

	Myeloproliferative Diseases JAK2 mutations confirm diagnosis of clonal MPD
DIAGNOSIS	 Sarcomas SS18-SSX1/SSX2 Synovial sarcoma PAX3/PAX7-FOXO1A Alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma EWSR1-FLI1; EWSR1-ERG Ewing's sarcoma EWSR1-NR4A3; TAF15-NR4A3 Extra-skeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma EWSR1-ATF1 Clear cell sarcoma (and angiomatoid fibrous histiocytoma)
PREDICTIVE	 Non-small Cell Lung Cancer EGFR mutations predict response to TKI ALK Rearrangements predict response to ALK-inhibitors Gastrointestinal Stromal tumors KIT & PDGFRA mutations predict response to c-KIT/PDGFRA inhibitors CRC KRAS mutations predict lack of response to anti-EGFR antibodies
PROGNOSTIC	 Metastatic CRC BRAF mutations are indicative of poor outcome
DISEASE MONITORING	 Chronic Myeloid Leukemia BCR-ABL1 Minimal residual disease detection Acute Promyelocytic Leukemia PML-RARA Minimal residual disease detection

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

REVIEW ARTICLE

Publication of Tumor Marker Research Results: The Necessity for Complete and Transparent Reporting

Lisa M. McShane and Daniel F. Hayes

THE PROBLEM: FEW TUMOR MARKERS ARE OF CLINICAL UTILITY

Regrettably, most tumor marker studies fail to rigorously address analytic validity or clinical utility. Rather, most publications simply demonstrate clinical validity with a **marker assay that is often poorly described and not demonstrated to be accurate, reliable, and reproducible outside of the respective research laboratory that developed it.**

Few tumor marker tests have been studied with sufficient rigor to generate the kind of high-level evidence needed to determine whether they have clinical utility.

Indeed, 40 years into the remarkable biologic observations from the revolution in molecular biology and more than a decade after initiation of the "-omics" era, **only a few tumor markers are supported by evidence that would be considered Level 1**.





JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

REVIEW ARTICLE

Publication of Tumor Marker Research Results: The Necessity for Complete and Transparent Reporting

Lisa M. McShane and Daniel F. Hayes

EXAMPLES:

The only tissue-based markers recommended for **breast cancer** (ASCO Guidelines) are: i) **estrogen & progesterone** receptor testing for decisions about delivery of endocrine therapy, ii) **HER2** testing for anti-HER2 therapy, and iii) **urinary plasminogen activator & plasminogen activator inhibitor 1** assay to determine prognosis.

The situation in other solid tumors is even more dismal.

In **CRC**, testing for *KRAS* mutations is recommended for Ab-anti-EGFR treatment.

In **lung cancer**, testing for *ALK* translocations (likelihood of sensitivity to crizotinib), and for *EGFR* mutations, (associated with benefit from tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy), are recommended.

Among the many other promising markers reported for prognosis/prediction of benefit from both targeted and routine therapies, few have advanced beyond the clinical validity phase.





... moreover













CANCER REGISTRARS: AN EDUCATIONAL PERSPECTIVE



NCRA is the premier education resource for the cancer registry community. Whether you are beginning your career, preparing to take the CTR exam, NCRA provides a wide variety of training that is uniquely focused on the needs of the cancer registry profession.

NCRA is the premier education and training resource for the cancer registry community.

The Center for Cancer Registry Education provides easy access to high-quality educational programming to support both seasoned professionals and those new to the field. This site offers a variety of services, allowing to **tailor training and manage continuing education credits**. The center provides information on how to become a cancer registrar and earn the Certified Tumor Registrar (CTR[®]) credential







The clinical information achievable by **"traditional" cancerbiomarkers** is controversial; it may become potentially useful only when supported by "adjunctive clinical traces";

... "omics-biomarkers" are equally "weak": they suffer of significant inter-laboratory inconsistency; such as the "traditional" biomarkers, -omics become supportive only when interpreted in a wider context of clinical information;







In supporting the **new mission(s)** of Cancer Registries, -omics information is currently limited to high-resolution registries.

In such a context, the collection of omics-data needs elective/ dedicated procedures.

Educational "clinical" programs should support Cancer Registrars in the interpretation/registration of **controversial CUP cases**. The educational profile of cancer Registrars needs to be specifically addressed.











In clinical practice, cancer markers it refers to a molecule that can be detected in plasma and body fluids

Tumor markers are measurable biochemicals associated with a malignancy. These markers are either produced

- i) by tumor cells (tumor-derived) or
- ii) by the body, in response to tumor cell (tumor-associated). They are typically substances that are released into the circulation and thus measured in the blood. Tumor markers are not the primary modalities for cancer diagnosis rather they can be used as laboratory test to support the diagnosis.





Cancer is a cluster of diseases involving alterations in the status and expression of multiple genes that confer a survival advantage and undiminished proliferative potential to somatic or germinal cells.[3] Alterations primarily in three main classes of genes, namely (proto) oncogenes, tumor suppressor genes, and DNA repair genes collectively contribute to the development of cancer genotype and phenotype that resists the natural and inherent death mechanism(s) embedded in cells (apoptosis and like processes), coupled with dysregulation of cell proliferation events [Figure 1].[1]





Tumor Markers in supporting cancer registration





Massimo RUGGE, MD, FACG Università Degli Studi Di Padova, Italy



Monopoli – October, 2018